

Orbital Angular Momentum: Symmetry and Conservation (cont.)

Conservation of Orbital Angular Momentum (The momentum for a single particle in the central force field)

The central force is the “conservative force”, and thus the potential energy can be defined.

Where the vector of the force is $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r})$,

$$F_x = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \quad F_y = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}, \quad F_z = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \quad (12)$$

We can define a univalent scalar function $V(\mathbf{r})$ (potential function, potential energy) about a position vector \mathbf{r} . For the central force field, $V(\mathbf{r})$ is a function exclusively related to the distance from the origin $r = |\mathbf{r}|$, that is $V(\mathbf{r}) = V(r)$. At this moment, Hamiltonian for a particle may be:

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(r) \quad (13)$$

By using the relational functions (6a~b)(11a~b), we can establish the following for the Hamiltonian (13):

$$[\hat{\ell}_\alpha, H] = 0, \quad [\hat{\ell}^2, H] = 0 \quad (\alpha = x, y, z) \quad (14)$$

$\hat{\ell}_\alpha$ is operated with a kinetic energy term $(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$ in (11a~b), and in (6a~b), $\hat{\ell}_\alpha$ is

operated with a spherically symmetric potential term $V(r)$.

From what we have established in (14), each component of the orbital angular momentum operators $\hat{\ell}_x, \hat{\ell}_y, \hat{\ell}_z$ are operated with the Hamiltonian, hence we can define an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian as to match with the eigenfunction of the

orbital angular momentum operators $\hat{\ell}^2$ or either one of $\hat{\ell}_x, \hat{\ell}_y, \hat{\ell}_z$. This is the law of

conservation of orbital angular momentum from quantum mechanical point of view. As

we can find in (10), each component of the orbital angular momentum $\hat{\ell}_x, \hat{\ell}_y, \hat{\ell}_z$ does not operate with one another, if the energy eigenfunction is picked to match with the certain eigenfunctions $\hat{\ell}^2$ and $\hat{\ell}_z$ simultaneously, there is no way that we can take the eigenfunctions of $\hat{\ell}_x$ or $\hat{\ell}_y$. In general, the energy eigenfunction may be taken to match with the eigenfunction of $\hat{\ell}^2$ and $\hat{\ell}_z$.

Figure.6-1(polar coordinates: abbr.)

Orbital Angular Momentum in Polar Coordinates

It is possible to further the discussion on the orbit angular momentum operator without using any representations of θ, ϕ , however, in this section, we write off only the results represented with θ, ϕ . The polar coordinates r, θ, ϕ are defined as shown in the Fig.6.1. In formula, we can write as:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= r \sin \theta \cos \phi \\y &= r \sin \theta \sin \phi \\z &= r \cos \theta\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

Or inversely,

$$\begin{aligned}r &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \\ \cos \theta &= z / \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \\ \tan \phi &= y/x, \\ 0 &\leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi\end{aligned}\tag{15'}$$

Based on these formulas, we can conduct polar coordinates transformation about (5):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\ell}_x &= i\hbar(\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}), \\ \hat{\ell}_y &= i\hbar(-\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}), \\ \hat{\ell}_z &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \\ \hat{\ell}^2 &= -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right]\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

We leave this calculation of the variable transformation up to the readers.

Eigenfunction of Orbital Angular Momentum Operators $\hat{\ell}^2$ and $\hat{\ell}_z$

As a first step, we try to obtain the eigenfunction of $\hat{\ell}^2$. We define $\varphi_\ell(x, y, z)$ as, harmonic function (we call harmonic polynomial) of the ℓ degrees homogeneous polynomial of x, y, z . Harmonic function is the function that satisfies following:

$$\Delta \varphi = 0\tag{17}$$

In this occasion, φ_ℓ can be expressed as:

$$\varphi_\ell(x, y, z) = \sum_{n_x, n_y, n_z \geq 0}^{\ell} a_{n_x n_y n_z} x^{n_x} y^{n_y} z^{n_z} \quad (n_x + n_y + n_z = \ell)\tag{18}$$

In polar coordinates, the formula can be reformed as:

$$\varphi_\ell(x, y, z) = r^\ell \psi_\ell(\theta, \phi) \quad (19)$$

By conducting Laplacian operation, and taking into account that the expression (9') and φ_ℓ are the harmonic functions, we can get:

$$\Delta \varphi_\ell(x, y, z) = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} \varphi_\ell - \frac{1}{r^2 \hbar^2} \hat{\ell}^2 \varphi_\ell = 0 \quad (20)$$

Therefore, the harmonic polynomial function is considered to be the eigenfunction of $\hat{\ell}^2$ with an eigenvalue $\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)$.

$$\hat{\ell}^2 \varphi_\ell(x, y, z) = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1) \varphi_\ell(x, y, z). \quad (21)$$

We emphasize again, that $\hat{\ell}^2$ does not include $(\partial/\partial r)$.

When $\hat{\ell}_z$ holds the eigenfunction $\varphi_{\ell m}$ with an eigenvalue $\hbar m$, and given that $\hat{\ell}_z = -i\hbar(\partial/\partial\phi)$ as stated in (16), we can write as:

$$\hat{\ell}_z \varphi_{\ell m}(x, y, z) = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \varphi_{\ell m}(x, y, z) = \hbar m \varphi_{\ell m}(x, y, z) \quad (22)$$

The differential equation (22) can be easily solved despite that it is the function of ϕ , in which $\varphi_{\ell m} \sim e^{im\phi}$, with a reference to (19), we can obtain:

$$\varphi_{\ell m}(x, y, z) = r^\ell N_{\ell m} \frac{e^{im\phi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} P_{\ell m}(\cos\theta) \quad (23)$$

The above applies only if $N_{\ell m}$ was a constant number, and $P_{\ell m}(\cos\theta)$ was an angle θ , which being defined upon dependence of ℓ and m . θ is limited within the region $\pi \geq \theta \geq 0$, thus, there should be no confusion over the ways of expressing the angle θ or $\cos\theta$.

Now, let's consider over the polynomial function $\varphi_{\ell m}(x, y, z)$. The zero degree polynomial function is apparently:

$$\varphi_{00}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \quad (24)$$

For the first-degree homogeneous expression, there exists the following three:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1x} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} x, \\ \varphi_{1y} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} y, \\ \varphi_{1z} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which are the solutions for the Laplace's equation $\Delta\varphi = 0$, also they are what should be obtained for $\ell = 1$. As for φ_{1z} , it is consisted of the eigenfunction that satisfies $\hbar m = 0$ for $\hat{\ell}_z$, and satisfies the following condition:

$$\hat{\ell}_z \varphi_{1z} = 0$$

On the other hand, φ_{1x} and φ_{1y} may be stated as:

$$\hat{\ell}_z \varphi_{1x} = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \varphi_{1y}, \quad \hat{\ell}_z \varphi_{1y} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \varphi_{1x}$$

That are not consisted of the eigenfunction of $\hat{\ell}_z$, yet the two expressions above when combined together would be:

$$\hat{\ell}_z(\varphi_{1x} \pm i\varphi_{1y}) = (\pm\hbar)(\varphi_{1x} \pm i\varphi_{1y})$$

Indicating that each and every value of φ may be consisting the eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\pm\hbar$ of $\hat{\ell}_z$. In this way:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{11} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_{1x} + i\varphi_{1y}) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}(x + iy) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}r \sin\theta e^{i\phi}, \\ \varphi_{10} &= \varphi_{1z} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}z = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}r \cos\theta, \\ \varphi_{1-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_{1x} - i\varphi_{1y}) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}(x - iy) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}r \sin\theta e^{-i\phi} \end{aligned} \quad (25')$$

Each value of φ is the eigenfunction that takes eigenvalues $\ell = 1, m = +1, 0, -1$. In defining φ_{11} , there is not much of significance in the meanings for making a negative multiplication to the equation for now.

Although, when $\ell = 2$, the homogeneous polynomials in the second degree are found to be $x^2, y^2, z^2, yz, zx, xy$, all of them would not necessarily turn out to be the solution for the Laplace's equation. Given $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = r^2$, among the six of the second-degree polynomials, five linear combinations of those can be the independent eigenfunction of $\ell = 2$. In most of the times, the following five linear combinations are chosen:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{2,3z^2-r^2} &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}}(3z^2 - r^2) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}}r^2(3\cos^2\theta - 1), \\
\varphi_{2,x^2-y^2} &= \sqrt{\frac{15}{16\pi}}(x^2 - y^2) = \sqrt{\frac{15}{16\pi}}r^2\sin^2\theta\cos 2\phi, \\
\varphi_{2,yz} &= \sqrt{\frac{15}{4\pi}}yz = \sqrt{\frac{15}{4\pi}}r^2\sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\phi, \\
\varphi_{2,zx} &= \sqrt{\frac{15}{4\pi}}zx = \sqrt{\frac{15}{4\pi}}r^2\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi, \\
\varphi_{2,xy} &= \sqrt{\frac{15}{4\pi}}xy = \sqrt{\frac{15}{4\pi}}r^2\sin^2\theta\sin 2\phi.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

These are the solutions for the Laplace's equation, and it is easy to notice the eigenfunction of $\hat{\ell}^2$, which correspond with $\ell = 2$. Although, they are not the eigenfunction of $\hat{\ell}_z$, as it was for $\ell = 1$, we can still obtain the eigenfunctions for $m = \pm 2$ and $m = \pm 1$ respectively by establishing the linear combination of φ_{2,x^2-y^2} , $\varphi_{2,xy}$, $\varphi_{2,yz}$, and $\varphi_{2,zx}$. In rewriting the eigenfunction in the actual form of $\hat{\ell}_z$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{22} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_{2,x^2-y^2} + i\varphi_{2,xy}) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4\sqrt{2\pi}}r^2\sin^2\theta e^{2i\phi}, \\
\varphi_{21} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_{2,zx} + i\varphi_{2,yz}) = -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}r^2\sin\theta\cos\theta e^{i\phi}, \\
\varphi_{20} &= \varphi_{2,3z^2-r^2} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{4\pi}}r^2(3\cos^2\theta - 1), \\
\varphi_{2-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_{2,zx} - i\varphi_{2,yz}) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}r^2\sin\theta\cos\theta e^{-i\phi}, \\
\varphi_{2-2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_{2,x^2-y^2} - i\varphi_{2,xy}) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4\sqrt{2\pi}}r^2\sin^2\theta e^{-2i\phi}
\end{aligned} \tag{26'}$$

Based on the results showing above, where $\ell = 0, 1, 2$, the eigenfunction of the angular momentum $\hbar\ell$ exists as many as $2\ell + 1$, and each one of them corresponds $m = \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, -\ell + 1, -\ell$. Which indicates that it is also established at any ℓ . To derive $\ell \geq |m|$, we take the following steps:

Using the Hermitian of the following that are equally established, so we can derive:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \{\varphi_{\ell m}^* \hat{\ell}_\alpha^2 \varphi_{\ell m}\} \sin\theta d\theta d\phi &= \int \{|\hat{\ell}_\alpha \varphi_{\ell m}|^2\} \sin\theta d\theta d\phi \geq 0 \\
\int \{\varphi_{\ell m}^* (\hat{\ell}^2 - \hat{\ell}_z^2) \varphi_{\ell m}\} \sin\theta d\theta d\phi \\
&= (\ell(\ell + 1) - m^2) \hbar^2 \int |\varphi_{\ell m}|^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\phi \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

$\ell(\ell + 1) \geq m^2$, and assume m to be the integer then:

$$\ell \geq m \geq -\ell \quad (27)$$

For the appropriate selection of the constant numbers that are shown at the top of (25)~(26'), and $N_{\ell m}$ of (24), it is common and advantageous to normalize the wavefunctions of square-integrable measurable functions to be 1:

$$\int |\varphi_{\ell m}(x, y, z)|^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi = N_{\ell m}^2 r^{2\ell} \int_0^\pi \sin \theta d\theta |P_{\ell m}(\cos \theta)|^2 = r^{2\ell} \quad (28)$$

Spherical Functions (Abbrev. in lectures)

Through (25)~(26'), we have deepened our understanding over the angular momentum operator $\hat{\ell}^2$, as well as the eigenfunction $\varphi_{\ell m}$ of $\hat{\ell}_z$. To consider them in more generalized terms, it is possible to take (21) as differential equations in terms of θ . Moreover, the expression for $\hat{\ell}^2$ given in (16) and (23) clarifies the conditions for $P_{\ell m}(\cos \theta)$ to satisfy the following differential equations:

$$\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{dP_{\ell m}(\cos \theta)}{d\theta} \right) + \left(\ell(\ell + 1) - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) P_{\ell m}(\cos \theta) = 0. \quad (29)$$

To conduct the variable transformation with $\omega = \cos \theta$, we can also write as:

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} \left[(1 - \omega^2) \frac{dP_{\ell m}(\omega)}{d\omega} \right] + \left(\ell(\ell + 1) - \frac{m^2}{1 - \omega^2} \right) P_{\ell m}(\omega) = 0 \quad (29')$$

The differential equation showing above is well scrutinized over a period of time that it is called the associated Legendre's differential equations, and its solution $P_{\ell m}(\omega)$ is called an associated Legendre function. As we have analyzed in (27), ℓ takes either 0 or the positive integer, and m takes either 0 or positive and negative integer within the region $\ell \geq m \geq -\ell$.

In (29'), where $m = 0$, the differential equation can be:

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} \left[(1 - \omega^2) \frac{dP_{\ell}(\omega)}{d\omega} \right] + \ell(\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\omega) = 0 \quad (30)$$

The differential equation showing above is called Legendre's differential equations, and its solution is called Legendre function. $P_{\ell}(\omega)$ takes ℓ -degree polynomials about ω , where ℓ is the integer or 0, so we can write as:

$$P_{\ell}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2^{\ell} \ell!} \frac{d^{\ell}}{d\omega^{\ell}} (\omega^2 - 1)^{\ell} = \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{2^{\ell} \ell!} \frac{d^{\ell}}{d\omega^{\ell}} (1 - \omega^2)^{\ell} \quad (31)$$

To make specific for the formations when $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$P_0(\omega) = 1$$

$$P_1(\omega) = \omega$$

$$P_2(\omega) = (1/2)(3\omega^2 - 1)$$

$$P_3(\omega) = (1/2)(5\omega^3 - 3\omega)$$

The coefficients $(-1)^{\ell}/(2^{\ell}\ell!)$ are arbitrarily decided.

Generally, the associated Legendre function $P_{\ell m}(\omega)$ is expressed by using Legendre function $P_{\ell}(\omega)$ when $m \neq 0$:

$$P_{\ell m}(\omega) = (1 - \omega^2)^{|m|/2} \frac{d^{|m|}}{d\omega^{|m|}} P_{\ell}(\omega) \quad (32)$$

The constant $N_{\ell m}$ can be defined by the general expression of $P_{\ell m}$:

$$N_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{2} \frac{(\ell - |m|)!}{(\ell + |m|)!}} \quad (33)$$

For $\ell = 0, 1, 2$, it is not as difficult to prove the given equations (25)~(26') satisfies the equations (31)~(33). In order to show this in generalization, we can apply the Leibniz rule over and over. (see. Tetsuro Inui "Tokushu-kansu" Iwanami zensho)

To put in order, we can say that the eigenfunction of orbital angular momentum $\hat{\ell}^2$ and $\hat{\ell}_z$ are:

$$Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = \Theta_{\ell m}(\theta)\Phi_m(\phi), \quad (34a)$$

$$\Theta_{\ell m}(\theta) = (-1)^{\frac{m+|m|}{2}} \left[\frac{2\ell + 1}{2} \frac{(\ell - |m|)!}{(\ell + |m|)!} \right]^{1/2} P_{\ell m}(\cos \theta) \quad (34b)$$

$$\Phi_m(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{im\phi} \quad (34c)$$

$Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$ is called spherical function or spherical surface harmonics, and the operation of $\hat{\ell}^2, \hat{\ell}_z$ can give the results:

$$\hat{\ell}^2 Y_{\ell m} = \hbar^2 \ell(\ell + 1) Y_{\ell m} \quad (35a)$$

$$\hat{\ell}_z Y_{\ell m} = \hbar m Y_{\ell m} \quad (35b)$$

The factor $(-1)^{\frac{m+|m|}{2}}$ in (34b) is usually taken, and the reason why we need this particular factor will be found in later on, but for now, think of it as an idiomatic expression. In (24)~(25'), what is written in terms of $\varphi_{\ell m}$ can be expressed in spherical function:

$$\varphi_{\ell m}(r, \theta, \phi) = r^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$

Spherical function $Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$ is considered as the eigenfunction, which includes Hermitian operator $\hat{\ell}^2$ and $\hat{\ell}_z$ with the eigenvalue $\hbar^2 \ell(\ell + 1)$ and $\hbar m$. Commonly, it is known that the eigenfunctions of Hermitian operators with different eigenvalues are orthogonal to one another; hence there is an orthonormality relationship to be established. It is of course possible to make a proof by using the specific formations of the spherical function, though it may take a tremendous time and effort to complete.

Spatial Images of Spherical Function

To have an ability to picture the images of the wavefunctions can be a very essential matter henceforward. To provide an assistance to improve the skill, we will show the behaviors of the spherical functions in a space (Fig.6.2). In the figure, also seen in (24), (25), (26), the value ℓ represents the number of the nodal surface for the wavefunction on the spherical surface. (Momentum/ \hbar) corresponds to the number of nodes for the unit length of the plane waves, in the same way, (angular momentum/ \hbar) corresponds to the number of nodal surface for the oscillating body on spherical surface. The value $|m|$ characterizes the form of the wavefunction when rotated about the z-axis. Therefore, the parity of $\ell: (-1)^\ell$ may give a sign changing in wavefunction toward a space inversion $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow -\mathbf{r}$. Although, there is no reason for Hamiltonian and a space to have a special attention to z-axis, thus it may seem quite strange for the wavefunction and $\hat{\ell}_z$ of their eigenvalues to hold a special meaning; it is simply a matter of how the base is selected but nothing else.

-----Fig.6-2-----

Step-up Operator and Step-down Operator (abbrev. in lecture)

Let's take a closer look at the associated Legendre differential equations $P_{\ell m}(\omega)$. We define $m \geq 0$, and differentiate (32) in terms of ω then multiply by $\sqrt{1 - \omega^2}$ to obtain:

$$\sqrt{1 - \omega^2} \frac{d}{d\omega} P_{\ell m} = -\frac{m\omega}{\sqrt{1 - \omega^2}} P_{\ell m} + P_{\ell m+1} \quad (37a)$$

We can reform the associated Legendre differential equations (29):

$$\left\{ \sqrt{1 - \omega^2} \frac{d}{d\omega} - \frac{(m+1)\omega}{\sqrt{1 - \omega^2}} \right\} \left\{ \sqrt{1 - \omega^2} \frac{d}{d\omega} + \frac{m\omega}{\sqrt{1 - \omega^2}} \right\} P_{\ell m} + (\ell(\ell+1) - m(m+1)) P_{\ell m} = 0$$

Take (37a) for the first clause, and define $m+1 \rightarrow m$:

$$\sqrt{1 - \omega^2} \frac{d}{d\omega} P_{\ell m} = \frac{m\omega}{\sqrt{1 - \omega^2}} P_{\ell m} - (\ell+m)(\ell-m+1) P_{\ell m-1} \quad (37b)$$

Keep in mind that $m \geq 1$ in (37b). Reconstitute the variable from ω to θ , by $\omega = \cos \theta$:

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} = -\sin\theta \frac{d}{d(\cos\theta)} = -\sqrt{1-\omega^2} \frac{d}{d\omega}$$

Each equation (37a~b) then, be reformed as:

$$m \geq 0 : \quad \frac{dP_{\ell m}}{d\theta} = m \cot\theta P_{\ell m} - P_{\ell m+1}, \quad (37a')$$

$$m \geq 1 : \quad \frac{dP_{\ell m}}{d\theta} = -m \cot\theta P_{\ell m} + (\ell+m)(\ell-m+1)P_{\ell m-1} \quad (37b')$$

In the next step, rewrite the equation of $P_{\ell m}$ by the terms of $\Theta_{\ell m}$ using (34b):

$$m \geq 0 : \quad \frac{d\Theta_{\ell m}}{d\theta} = m \cot\theta \Theta_{\ell m} + \sqrt{(\ell-m)(\ell+m+1)}\Theta_{\ell m+1},$$

$$m \geq 1 : \quad \frac{d\Theta_{\ell m}}{d\theta} = -m \cot\theta \Theta_{\ell m} - \sqrt{(\ell+m)(\ell-m+1)}\Theta_{\ell m-1}$$

Given $\Theta_{\ell 1} = -\Theta_{\ell-1}$, we can obtain the second equation by defining $m = 0$ for the first equation. In this way, we can consider the limit of the second equation $m \geq 1$ as $m \geq 0$.

Now, we substitute $\Theta_{\ell|m|} = (-1)^m \Theta_{\ell-|m|}$ into the first equation to obtain:

$$\frac{d\Theta_{\ell-|m|}}{d\theta} = |m| \cot\theta \Theta_{\ell-|m|} + (-1)^m \sqrt{(\ell-|m|)(\ell+|m|+1)}\Theta_{\ell|m|+1}$$

Where it is $m < 0$, then $|m| = -m$, $|m| + 1 = -m + 1 = -(m-1)$,

$$\Theta_{\ell|m|+1} = \Theta_{\ell-(m-1)} = (-1)^{m-1} \Theta_{\ell m-1}$$

Therefore, the first equation can be finally reformed as:

$$\frac{d\Theta_{\ell m}}{d\theta} = -m \cot\theta \Theta_{\ell m} - \sqrt{(\ell+m)(\ell-m+1)}\Theta_{\ell m-1}, \quad (m < 0)$$

Which indicates that the second equation is valid under the condition of $m < 0$, and in the same way, through the second equation, we can derive the validity of the first equation under the condition of $m < 0$. In general, without any concerns over the sings of m , the recurrence formula can be determined:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Theta_{\ell m}(\theta)}{d\theta} &= +m \cot\theta \Theta_{\ell m}(\theta) + \sqrt{(\ell-m)(\ell+m+1)}\Theta_{\ell m+1}(\theta) \\ &= -m \cot\theta \Theta_{\ell m}(\theta) - \sqrt{(\ell+m)(\ell-m+1)}\Theta_{\ell m-1}(\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

We define new angular momentum operators $\hat{\ell}_+$, $\hat{\ell}_-$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\ell}_+ &= \hat{\ell}_x + i\hat{\ell}_y = \hbar e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i \cot\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \right), \\ \hat{\ell}_- &= \hat{\ell}_x - i\hat{\ell}_y = \hbar e^{-i\phi} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i \cot\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

In considering $\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega} Y_{\ell m} = i\hbar m Y_{\ell m}$, the two equations from (38) represent the operation

of the spherical function to $\hat{\ell}_{\pm}$:

$$\hat{\ell}_{\pm} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = \hbar \sqrt{(\ell \mp m)(\ell \pm m + 1)} Y_{\ell m \pm 1}(\theta, \phi) \quad (40)$$

That is to say, the new operator $\hat{\ell}_{\pm}$ has an ability to change the eigenstate of the angular momentum (ℓ, m) to be $(\ell, m \pm 1)$, and the reason why we picked a certain sign for the equations (25)~(26') was to avoid the change in the signs of the equation at (40). $\hat{\ell}_+$, $\hat{\ell}_-$ are often called step-up operator and step-down operator respectively. The commutation relation of $\hat{\ell}_{\pm}$ can be determined with definition equation (39) and (10) to be written as:

$$[\hat{\ell}_+, \hat{\ell}_-] = 2\hbar \hat{\ell}_z, \quad (41a)$$

$$[\hat{\ell}_{\pm}, \hat{\ell}_z] = \mp \hbar \hat{\ell}_{\pm}. \quad (41b)$$

As an appendix, we write out the equation of $\hat{\ell}^2$ reformed in terms of $\hat{\ell}_{\pm}$ as well as in $\hat{\ell}_z$:

$$\hat{\ell}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\ell}_+ \hat{\ell}_- + \hat{\ell}_- \hat{\ell}_+) + \hat{\ell}_z^2 = \hat{\ell}_+ \hat{\ell}_- + \hat{\ell}_z^2 - \hbar \hat{\ell}_z = \hat{\ell}_- \hat{\ell}_+ + \hat{\ell}_z^2 + \hbar \hat{\ell}_z. \quad (41c)$$